EXHIBIT 17

		1000101-2-11
		1759
2		
3	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
4	COUNTY OF NEW YORK : CIVIL TERM : PART 51	
5	ANTHONY ZAPPIN	
6	Plaintiff	
7	Index Number - against - 301568/2014	
8	CLAIRE COMFORT	
9	Defendant	
10	X	
11	60 Centre Street New York, New York	
12	December 3, 2015	
13	BEFORE:	
14	HONORABLE MATTHEW F. COOPER, Justice	
15	APPEARANCES:	
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff:	4
17	ANTHONY ZAPPIN, Pro Se	
18		
19	275 Madison Avenue - 14th Floor	
20	New York, New York 10011, ESQ.	
21	Attorneys for Defendant:	
22	THE WALLACK FIRM, P.C.	
23	777 Third Avenue New York, New York 10017	
	By: ROBERT M. WALLACK, ESQ. MICHAEL BELMONT, ESQ.	
2526	- continued -	
20	- continued -	dw
	Z.	uw

Attorneys for the Child: COHEN RABIN STINE SCHUMANN, LLP 11 Times Square - 10th Floor New York, New York 10036 BY: HARRIET NEWMAN COHEN, ESQ. PAUL C. KURLAND, ESQ. DENISE WILLIAMS, RPR CAROLYN BARNA, Official Court Reporters dw

1797 Zappin - Voir Dire - Mr. Schorr 1 2 MR. SCHORR: Hold on a second. 3 THE COURT: I do not see how -- go to the next question. 4 MR. SCHORR: Fair enough. 5 6 Your mother was on the call; in other words, you heard things take place during that call that are not 7 reflected on the FaceTime calls; is that correct? 8 9 Yes. My mother heard it and there is an affidavit. 10 Could you tell the Court what those various 11 things are? I know you have mentioned them a little. 12 13 Why don't you mention them, more of the things that actually took place during these calls that are not 14 reflected? 15 MR. WALLACK: Your Honor, if this did 16 happen, which it didn't, but if it did, it would go 17 to weight, not to admissibility. 18 THE COURT: You're right. 19 MR. SCHORR: Not in terms of splicing. 20 THE COURT: I can hear the tapes myself. 21 MR. SCHORR: Yourself. 22 THE COURT: I have a witness up here who 23 will not admit it is himself. He says it's a man 24 with a country boy accident. If I had direct honest 25

questions I might be in a better position --

26

1798

Zappin - Voir Dire - Mr. Schorr 1 2 THE WITNESS: I've already admitted to saying these things. 3 THE COURT: So why won't you admit that is 4 5 your voice on the recordings? Now you think it's cute to be able to answer the question -- is that you 6 7 on the recordings or not? 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's me. THE COURT: Yeah? I thought you said it 9 10 wasn't you? 11 I didn't say it wasn't. THE WITNESS: 12 THE COURT: You said someone purported to 13 be me? 14 THE WITNESS: I've said multiple times said 15 I've said those things on the call. I don't know 16 what you're trying to do. 17 THE COURT: Very well. 18 He said those things on the call, that is 19 what they are coming in for. 20 MR. SCHORR: One more thing. 21 THE COURT: He said he said those things on 22 the calls. 23 MR. SCHORR: There are things that were also said not reflected. 24 25 THE COURT: Then you can bring it on 26 redirect the rest of the call.

Zappin - Voir Dire - Mr. Schorr

MR. SCHORR: We don't have the calls. We didn't make the recordings.

THE COURT: You can tell me what was said.

It's coming in for what this witness has admitted he said on the calls.

Mr. Wallack -- based on what the witness has stated, all the messages all come in for what Mr. Zappin, the plaintiff, says on the tape. I will disregard anything said by any other party.

THE WITNESS: That's not right.

MR. SCHORR: That's not good.

THE COURT: That's what he wants.

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. SCHORR: No. The problem is --

THE COURT: Then I will take the whole call

in.

MR. SCHORR: Permit me to say this and then I will leave it to your Honor. It would be the equivalent of, let's say Mr. Belmont and I were in a verbal dispute and it was being video taped and I called Mr. Belmont everything in the book, then I proceeded to punch, spit on him, et cetera, et cetera. And all of this was being videotaped, then Mr. Belmont hit me back, cursed at me, and only that part is released as video. That's the problem I see

1 Zappin - Cross - Mr. Wallack 2 here. 3 THE COURT: My sense is there's not any other part. But I will hear testimony on redirect. 4 5 MR. WALLACK: Since the calls are now in 6 evidence, I would ask that this be marked. 7 THE COURT: The tape recorder, correct? 8 MR. WALLACK: We can transfer the calls 9 onto a CD or a flash drive so they can physically be 10 in evidence and the court can have them. 11 What I was going to do was I have a 12 demonstrative aid, a transcript, which I was going to 13 distribute since they are now in evidence and we can 14 follow along with the transcript. 15 THE COURT: This is what I will do. I will 16 take the transcripts in evidence. I will have one 17 side hear the tapes and if convinced the transcripts 18 are accurate then you will produce recordings on the CD or flash drive. 19 20 MR. SCHORR: Are the transcripts certified 21 by anybody? 22 MR. WALLACK: No. The transcript is a 23 demonstrative aid for us to use. 24 THE COURT: So you're not putting in the 25 transcript itself.

MR. WALLACK: Just the calls itself.

26